1		
2		
3		
4		
5	INITED STATES D	ICTRICT COLIDT
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
7	AT TAC	OMA
8	SUZANNE L MOSELEY,	
9	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C11-5323 BHS
10	v.	ORDER FOR EAJA FEES AND EXPENSES
11	MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,	
12	Defendant.	
13		
13 14	THIS MATTER having come on regula	arly before the undersigned upon Plaintiff's
	THIS MATTER having come on regular unopposed Motion to Award EAJA Fees and	
14		nd Expenses, and the Court agreeing that
14 15	unopposed Motion to Award EAJA Fees ar	nd Expenses, and the Court agreeing that
14 15 16	unopposed Motion to Award EAJA Fees ar EAJA fees and expenses should be awarde therefore, it is hereby ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby awa	nd Expenses, and the Court agreeing that d, good cause having been shown, now, arded EAJA fees of \$5,298.98 and expenses
14 15 16 17 18	unopposed Motion to Award EAJA Fees ar EAJA fees and expenses should be awarde therefore, it is hereby ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby awa in the sum of \$24.82. Subject to any offset allo	nd Expenses, and the Court agreeing that d, good cause having been shown, now, arded EAJA fees of \$5,298.98 and expenses owed under the Treasury Offset Program, as
14 15 16 17 18 19	unopposed Motion to Award EAJA Fees ar EAJA fees and expenses should be awarde therefore, it is hereby ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby awa in the sum of \$24.82. Subject to any offset allowed discussed in Astrue v. Ratliff, 130 S. Ct. 2521,	nd Expenses, and the Court agreeing that d, good cause having been shown, now, arded EAJA fees of \$5,298.98 and expenses owed under the Treasury Offset Program, as 560 U.S (2010), payment of this award
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	unopposed Motion to Award EAJA Fees ar EAJA fees and expenses should be awarde therefore, it is hereby ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby awa in the sum of \$24.82. Subject to any offset allo discussed in <i>Astrue v. Ratliff</i> , 130 S. Ct. 2521, shall be sent to Plaintiff's attorney Eitan Ka	nd Expenses, and the Court agreeing that d, good cause having been shown, now, arded EAJA fees of \$5,298.98 and expenses owed under the Treasury Offset Program, as 560 U.S (2010), payment of this award assel Yanich at his address: Eitan Kassel
14 15 16 17 18 19	unopposed Motion to Award EAJA Fees ar EAJA fees and expenses should be awarde therefore, it is hereby ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby awa in the sum of \$24.82. Subject to any offset allowed discussed in Astrue v. Ratliff, 130 S. Ct. 2521,	nd Expenses, and the Court agreeing that d, good cause having been shown, now, arded EAJA fees of \$5,298.98 and expenses owed under the Treasury Offset Program, as 560 U.S (2010), payment of this award assel Yanich at his address: Eitan Kassel

1 After the Court issues the order for EAJA fees and expenses, the Commissioner will consider the matter of Plaintiff's assignment of EAJA fees and expenses to Plaintiff's 2 attorney. Pursuant to Astrue v. Ratliff, the ability to honor the assignment will depend on 3 whether the EAJA fees and expenses are subject to any offset allowed under the Treasury 4 Offset Program. The Commissioner agrees to contact the Department of Treasury after 5 the order for EAJA fees and expenses is entered to determine whether the EAJA fees and 6 expenses are subject to any offset. If the EAJA fees and expenses are not subject to any 7 offset, the EAJA attorney's fees and expenses will be paid directly to plaintiff's attorney 8 Eitan Kassel Yanich, either by direct deposit or by check payable to him and mailed to 9 his address. DATED this 5th day of November, 2012. 10 11 12 13

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge

22

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21